

ED SLOTT'S IRA ADVISOR

© 2007 Ed Slott, CPA

July 2007

TAX & ESTATE PLANNING FOR YOUR RETIREMENT SAVINGS

Use Caution When Making Changes to 72(t) Payment Plans

See pages 6-8

"Whoever said 'learn from your mistakes' may have been smart, but it costs less to learn from other people's mistakes." - Ed Slott

Our feature article, "No Relief on These Rollover Mistakes," shows us that 60-day rollover problems continue.

A botched rollover is serious because the distribution becomes taxable and subject to a 10% early withdrawal penalty for those under age 59½ (where no exception applies).

IRS has the authority to provide relief through pri-

vate letter rulings (PLRs), but only if there was a true intent to do a rollover. As you'll see in this issue, hanging your hopes on a favorable 60-day rollover ruling from IRS is no guarantee. If the funds distributed from the IRA or plan are not contributed back within the required 60 days and were used to pay bills, buy a home, pay for college or even pay nursing home expenses, IRS will not provide relief regardless of how harsh the tax consequences may be.

IRS has ruled favorably in many cases where it was clear that the funds

were meant to be rolled over, but the taxpayer still had to go through the time and expense of requesting a PLR to resolve the issue. Doing 60-day rollovers is like playing Russian roulette with your retirement savings. Advisors can help clients avoid these disasters by having them do direct rollovers (trusteeto-trustee transfers).

72(t) Rulings

Doing 60-day

rollovers is like

playing

Russian

roulette with

your retirement

savings.

This month's guest IRA expert is Joe Cicchinelli, CPA, M.B.A., Vice President of PMC, a retirement plans consulting firm. Joe and his technical staff, Sarah Brenner, Esq., Fran

Krawiec, and Ed Mink discuss recent 72(t) PLRs in their article "*Modifying Substantially Equal Periodic Payments*".

IRS has issued several recent private letter rulings (PLRs) on 72(t) payment plans that may surprise

you. Since a modification of a series of substantially equal periodic payments (SEPPS or 72(t) payments) triggers the 10% penalty retroactively, advisors need to know what situations or actions IRS would consider to be a modification of the 72(t) payment schedule.

Contractor of

For more IRA information, visit our website at *www.irahelp.com*.

Ed Slott, CPA 100 Merrick Road, Suite 200E Rockville Centre, NY 11570

WHAT'S INSIDE?

<u>Feature Article</u>

No Relief on These Rollover Mistakes

• 60-Day Rollover vs. Trusteeto-Trustee (Direct) Transfer

- 60-Day Relief
- Rollover Relief Denied - IRA funds used to pur-

chase a home - IRA funds used for nursing home care

- IRA funds withdrawn to buy company stock

- IRA funds deposited in joint account

- IRA funds used to purchase a retirement home

- Taxpayer could not prove a medical condition

- "Advice of a Friend"

- Plan loan offset not rolled over

• Rulings Will be Denied When There is No True Rollover Attempt

- Pages 2 - 5

<u>Guest IRA Expert</u>

Joseph L. Cicchinelli, CPA, M.B.A. of PMC Malvern, PA

Modifying Substantially Equal Periodic Payments ("72(t)" Distributions)

— Pages 6 - 8